

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

F-theory uplifts and GUTs

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. JHEP09(2009)053 (http://iopscience.iop.org/1126-6708/2009/09/053)

The Table of Contents and more related content is available

Download details: IP Address: 80.92.225.132 The article was downloaded on 01/04/2010 at 13:43

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

PUBLISHED BY IOP PUBLISHING FOR SISSA

RECEIVED: July 27, 2009 ACCEPTED: September 1, 2009 PUBLISHED: September 9, 2009

F-theory uplifts and GUTs

Ralph Blumenhagen,^a Thomas W. Grimm,^b Benjamin Jurke^a and Timo Weigand^c

^a Max-Planck-Institut für Physik,

^cSLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Stanford University,

2575 Sand Hill Road, Menlo Park, CA 94025, U.S.A.

E-mail: blumenha@mppmu.mpg.de, grimm@th.physik.uni-bonn.de,

bjurke@mppmu.mpg.de, timo@slac.stanford.edu

ABSTRACT: We study the F-theory uplift of Type IIB orientifold models on compact Calabi-Yau threefolds containing divisors which are del Pezzo surfaces. We consider two examples defined via del Pezzo transitions of the quintic. The first model has an orientifold projection leading to two disjoint O7-planes and the second involution acts via an exchange of two del Pezzo surfaces. The two uplifted fourfolds are generically singular with minimal gauge enhancements over a divisor and, respectively, a curve in the non-Fano base. We study possible further degenerations of the elliptic fiber leading to F-theory GUT models based on subgroups of E_8 .

KEYWORDS: F-Theory, Intersecting branes models, D-branes

ARXIV EPRINT: 0906.0013



Föhringer Ring 6, 80805 München, Germany

^bBethe Center for Theoretical Physics and Physikalisches Institut der Universität Bonn, Nussallee 12, 53115 Bonn, Germany

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Preliminaries on type IIB orientifolds	3
3	Orientifold geometries	5
4	F-theory uplifts	8
5	Consequences for F-theory GUTs	12
	5.1 Single del Pezzo transition	13
	5.2 Double del Pezzo transition	16
6	Conclusions	17
A	More F-theory uplifts	18
в	Tate algorithm	22

1 Introduction

Grand unified theories (GUTs) provide a beautiful field theoretic proposal for physics beyond the weak scale. It is thus natural to investigate whether one can embed supersymmetric GUTs into string theory. This has been a challenging question throughout the history of string theory. It was noticed as early as in the mid eighties that the ten-dimensional perturbative $E_8 \times E_8$ heterotic string naturally incorporates GUT gauge groups like SU(5) and SO(10) upon compactification to four flat dimensions together with the desired matter particle content and (Yukawa) couplings. Finding a completely realistic string model, though, turned out to be not that straightforward, despite tremendous progress over the years, as summarized e.g. in [1]. On the other hand, for the heterotic string there is no natural origin for the small hierarchy $M_X/M_{\rm pl} \simeq 10^{-3}$ and one has to invoke large threshold corrections or anisotropic backgrounds for its explanation.

Alternatively to the heterotic string, since the mid nineties D-brane models of various kinds have been discussed as candidate stringy realizations of the MSSM. These constructions go under the name of orientifold models, see e.g. [2-4] for more recent reviews. In this construction it was observed [5] that SO(10) and SU(5) GUTs were obstructed by the perturbative absence of matter fields in the **16** representation of SO(10) and by the absence of the top Yukawa coupling **10105**_H for the SU(5) case.

More recently it has been realized that the aforementioned problems with realizing simple GUT groups in orientifold constructions are nicely reconciled in F-theory models on elliptically-fibered Calabi-Yau fourfolds [6–9].

Due to the strong backreaction, only in a global $g_s \rightarrow 0$ limit a general F-theory model is expected to correspond to a Type IIB Calabi-Yau orientifold with D-branes. More generally, F-theory inherently captures features which are non-perturbative in g_s . These allow for the possibility of non-perturbative gauge enhancements and the appearance of exceptional groups E_6 , E_7 , E_8 in F-theory. By a further unfolding of these exceptional groups it is also possible to realize the spinor representation of a GUT SO(10) as well as the top-quark Yukawa couplings $10\,10\,5_{\rm H}$ in GUT SU(5). For four-dimensional models, the basis B is a threefold and the 7-branes wrap complex surfaces.

To suppress gravity (bulk) induced effects on the brane physics, it was proposed in [8] that a decoupling limit of gravity should in principle exist. This implies that the GUT physics should be localized on a 7-brane wrapping a shrinkable four-cycle in the base of the elliptically-fibered Calabi-Yau fourfold. Such shrinkable surfaces are given by del Pezzo surfaces dP_n , which are \mathbb{P}^2 blown-up at $n = 0, \ldots, 8$ different points and $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. It was further proposed to break the GUT symmetry to the Standard Model by means of a nonvanishing U(1)_Y gauge flux [8, 9]. For the hypercharge to remain massless, this flux must be supported on two-cycles in the del Pezzo surface which are trivial as two-cycles in the base [10]. Therefore, the existence of del Pezzo surfaces with such 'trivial' two-cycles is the starting point for a concrete implementation of these ideas in compact Calabi-Yau fourfolds. Of course, the realization of three generations, realistic Yukawa textures, suppressed proton decay and a solution to the doublet-triplet splitting problem imposes more conditions on the fourfold geometry and the four-form fluxes on them. Recent studies of these and further phenomenological questions and of the associated model building prescriptions in local constructions include [11–26]. Progress towards compact models has been made in [27–29].

As an intermediate step, in [30] it was analyzed to what degree all these geometric conditions can be met already in Type IIB orientifolds. Clearly, such perturbative models face the problem of generating all genuine E_8 structures at best non-perturbatively, such as the **10105**_H Yukawa coupling [31]. Nevertheless they provide a good starting point in several respects: The stringy consistency conditions such as flux quantization, tadpole cancellation, D-term supersymmetry conditions must all show up in an analogous way in F-theory. For instance, the GUT symmetry breaking via U(1)_Y flux on del Pezzo surfaces also works in orientifold models [16, 30]. Second, the orientifolded Calabi-Yau threefold geometries might lead to interesting fourfold geometries once one understands their uplift. Such an approach was initiated in [32], where it was analyzed how simple orientifolds give rise to Calabi-Yau fourfolds.

It is the aim of this paper to analyze this uplifting further and generalize it in particular to the orientifolds of [30], which are guaranteed to contain del Pezzo surfaces with trivial two-cycles. This goes beyond the analysis of [32], as the orientifolds of major interest have either O7-planes with more than one components or are defined via exchange involutions. In the first case, the del Pezzo is one component of the orientifold locus and in the second case the del Pezzo is exchanged with a mirror del Pezzo. We will discuss one example of each kind in detail and list the results of the uplift for many more examples in an appendix. The idea is to first understand how these geometries lift to elliptically fibered Calabi-Yau fourfolds. Clearly, in the Sen limit [33] these fourfolds must reproduce the location of the former orientifold planes. We will see that these uplifts generically have singularities, i.e. they are Weierstrass fibrations over non-Fano threefolds. The presence of these singularities is linked to the existence of a minimal non-Abelian gauge symmetry in the orientifold model. The latter is essentially a consequence of having branes on del Pezzo divisors which cannot be deformed.

As we will explicitly verify, the uplifted fourfolds have complex structure moduli which do not have an orientifold analogue and therefore allow for more general degenerations of the elliptic fiber. In particular, we are interested in realizing the E_8 structures on these fourfolds. We will show at two concrete prototype examples that indeed, even though the starting point was an orientifold model, the fourfold allows for E_8 -type degeneration. However, it turns out that there are still some restrictions on the GUT structures.

2 Preliminaries on type IIB orientifolds

In this section we collect some aspects of Type IIB Calabi-Yau orientifold compactifications with space-time filling D7-branes which are relevant for our discussion. More details can be found e.g. in [30]. We consider an orientifold projection which allows for O3 and O7-planes and takes the form $(-1)^{F_L}\Omega\sigma$. Here σ is a holomorphic and isometric involution of an internal Calabi-Yau threefold X. This involution splits the cohomology groups $H^p(X)$ and homology groups $H_q(X)$ into positive and negative eigenspaces $H^p_{\pm}(X)$ and $H^{\pm}_q(X)$. In particular, this split can be used to decompose the triple intersection form for divisors of X. Due to the invariance of the volume form one finds that three elements in $D^-_i \in H^-_4(X)$ as well as two elements of $D^+_i \in H^+_4(X)$ and one of $H^-_4(X)$ do not intersect [34]

$$D_1^- D_2^- D_3^- = 0 \qquad D_1^- D_2^+ D_3^+ = 0.$$
(2.1)

In order to cancel tadpoles, the orientifold model has to include a set of D7-branes which fill (four-dimensional) space-time and wrap holomorphic four-cycles D_a of the Calabi-Yau manifold. The orientifold symmetry σ maps D_a to its orientifold image D'_a so that in the upstairs geometry each brane is accompanied by its image brane. Denoting by $[D_a]$ the homology class of the divisor D_a , we distinguish the three cases

- $[D_a] \neq [D'_a],$
- $[D_a] = [D'_a]$ but $D_a \neq D'_a$ point-wise, and
- $D_a = D'_a$ point-wise (D7-branes coincide with an O-plane).

In this article we are concerned with divisors of all three kinds and we would like to study the fate of the D-branes once we lift the orientifold model to a Calabi-Yau fourfold.

For stacks of D7-branes not invariant under the orientifold action the Chan-Paton gauge symmetry is $U(N_a)$, i.e. it includes in particular the diagonal $U(1)_a \subset U(N_a)$. Each such stack of D7-branes can carry non-vanishing background flux for the Yang-Mills field strength F_a supported on some two-cycles of D_a . All physical quantities depend only on the gauge invariant combination $\mathcal{F}_a = F_a + \iota^* B \mathbf{1}$ which involves the pullback of the B-field to the brane divisor. Only with non-vanishing gauge fluxes can one realize chiral spectra. In fact, the chiral index is simply given by

$$I_{ab} = -\int_{X} [D_a] \wedge [D_b] \wedge (c_1(L_a) - c_1(L_b))$$
(2.2)

in terms of the gauge flux $c_1(L_a) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \mathcal{F}_a$. Note that in general this chiral matter is localised on the intersection of two divisors $D_a \cap D_b$, which defines a curve in X. The chiral spectrum can be further enhanced by vector-like pairs, which are detected by computing the relevant cohomology groups (for details we refer to the literature [30]).

In a global set-up the total charges of the orientifold planes and the D7-branes have to be cancelled. This includes the D7-brane tadpole cancellation condition

$$\sum_{a} N_a \left([D_a] + [D'_a] \right) = 8 \left[D_{\text{O7}} \right], \tag{2.3}$$

where the sum is over all $D7_a$ -branes.

The general condition for cancellation of the D3-brane tadpole is the most involved one and takes the form

$$N_{\rm D3} + \frac{N_{\rm flux}}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a} \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_{D_a} \text{tr} \mathcal{F}_a^2 = \frac{N_{\rm O3}}{4} + \frac{\chi(D_{\rm O7})}{12} + \sum_{a} N_a \frac{\chi_o(D_a)}{48}, \qquad (2.4)$$

where the sum is understood over all branes D_a and their image. Here N_{D3} counts the number of D3-branes and N_{flux} denotes the possible contributions from $G_3 = F_3 + \tau H_3$ form flux. The third term is due to the gauge flux background in the U(N_a). The three contributions on the right of (2.4) are related to the O3-planes and the curvature induced terms on the O7 and D7-branes.

The induced D3-charge on a smooth O7-plane is given by

$$\chi(D_{\rm O7}) = \int_X \left([D_{\rm O7}]^3 + c_2(T_X) \wedge [D_{\rm O7}] \right).$$
(2.5)

The contribution from the D7-branes is more involved as in Sen's orientifold limit [33], since the D7-branes always intersect the O7-planes in double points [35, 36]. Therefore, the Euler characteristic is a priori not well defined. However, via the relation to F-theory it was argued in [36] that the correct Euler characteristic is

$$\chi_{0}(D) = \int_{X} \left([D]^{3} + c_{2}(X) [D] + 3 [D] [D_{O7}] ([D_{O7}] - [D]) \right).$$
(2.6)

The right-hand side of (2.4) is precisely $\chi(Y)/24$ in the F-theory lift of this Type IIB orientifold, where Y denotes the elliptically fibered Calabi-Yau fourfold. However, it is important to point out that in F-theory the fourfolds which yield non-Abelian gauge symmetries are not smooth. To nevertheless compute the right-hand side of (2.4) in the F-theory up-lift one has to resolve the singularities and determine the Euler characteristic of the smooth blow-up space.

3 Orientifold geometries

In [30, 37] various compact Calabi-Yau geometries were considered which satisfy the two main conditions for realizing an SU(5) GUT model:

- the Calabi-Yau X contains shrinkable del Pezzo surfaces D
- there exist two-cycles on D which are non-trivial in D but trivial in X.

As prototype examples and for concreteness, let us present two such simple geometries with their involutions.

Single del Pezzo transition of the quintic. The starting point is the familiar quintic $\mathbb{P}^4[5]$, defined by a degree five hypersurface constraint in \mathbb{P}^4 with homogeneous coordinates $x_i, i = 1, \ldots 5$. At generic points in the complex structure moduli space the quintic $\mathbb{P}^4[5]$ defines a smooth manifold. Choosing however the quintic polynomial as

$$x_5^2 P_3(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) + x_5 P_4(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) + P_5(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = 0,$$
(3.1)

with all monomials with factors x_5^k , k > 2 vanishing, it degenerates such that at $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = (0, 0, 0, 0, 1)$ a del Pezzo singularity of the form $dP_6 = \mathbb{P}^3[3]$ is generated. From this singular locus one can deform to a new Calabi-Yau manifold, where this del Pezzo singularity is blown up to finite size and defines a new divisor of the Calabi-Yau manifold. These transitions can be described via toric geometry and amount to introducing a new coordinate x_6 and a second projective equivalence.¹ The new degrees of the coordinates are shown below:

vertices of the polyhedron / fan	coords	GLSM Q^1	A charges Q^2	divisor class
$\begin{array}{c} v_1 = (-1, \ -1, \ -1, \ -1) \\ v_2 = (1, \ 0, \ 0, \ 0) \\ v_3 = (0, \ 1, \ 0, \ 0) \\ v_4 = (0, \ 0, \ 1, \ 0) \\ v_5 = (0, \ 0, \ 0, \ 1) \\ v_6 = (0, \ 0, \ 0, \ -1) \end{array}$	$egin{array}{c} u_1 \ u_2 \ u_3 \ u_4 \ v \ w \end{array}$	1 1 1 1 1 1 0	0 0 0 0 1 1	H H H H $H + X$ X
conditions:		5	2	

In the following, the divisor $\{x = 0\}$ is denoted as D_x . In this construction the divisors are first defined in the toric ambient space determined by the polyhedron. The Calabi-Yau hypersurface is then obtained as a representative of the anti-canonical class

$$\bar{K} = \sum_{i=1}^{6} D_{x_i} \tag{3.2}$$

¹See refs. [30, 37] for more details on these constructions.

of the toric ambient space. The toric divisors D_x restrict to divisors of the hypersurface such that one can determine the triple intersections of this Calabi-Yau manifold by analyzing the intersections in the toric ambient space. By abuse of notation we will denote the divisors restricted to the hypersurface also by D_x . Introducing the basis $H = D_{u_1}$ and $X = D_w$ they read

$$H^3 = 2, \qquad H^2 X = 3, \qquad H X^2 = -3, \qquad X^3 = 3.$$
 (3.3)

Note that these intersections become diagonal in the basis $X, \tilde{H} = H + X$ since

$$\tilde{H}^3 = 5, \qquad X^3 = 3.$$
 (3.4)

reflecting the so-called swiss cheese property of this threefold. Using these intersections we can compute the Euler characteristics of the various divisors via (2.5). For instance for D_w we consistently find $\chi(D_w) = 9$. Note that this dP_6 divisor has seven non-trivial two-cycles, of which only one is non-trivial in the homology of the ambient Calabi-Yau threefold.

Next, one has to specify a holomorphic involution. Let us consider here the one acting as $\sigma: v \to -v$, which means that only even powers of this coordinate should appear in the constraint. Due to the two projective equivalences in the above table, the fixed point locus of this involution consists of the two disjoint smooth divisors

$$O7 = D_v + D_w \tag{3.5}$$

and no fixed points. The divisor D_w is of course the dP_6 surface, while the divisor D_v is smooth, non-rigid and has $\chi(D_v) = 55$.

Let us determine the D7-tadpole canceling brane configuration with minimal gauge group. Naively, one might think that a single D7-brane wrapping a hypersurface in [8 H + 16 X] might do the job. In this case, one would expect that the F-theory fourfold would only have I_1 singularities and the Weierstrass model is smooth, i.e. the basis a Fano threefold. The D3-brane tadpole would then determine the Euler characteristic of the smooth fourfold via

$$\chi^*(Y) = \left(\frac{\chi_0(8\,D_v) + \chi_0(8\,D_w)}{2} + 2\chi(O7)\right) = 1728.$$
(3.6)

However, in our case D_w is rigid and as a consequence the best we can do is to cancel the induced O7-plane tadpole by a single brane along the divisor $8D_v$ and a stack of 8 D7-branes along the divisor D_w . The resulting gauge symmetry² is SO(8) and for the Euler characteristic of the true uplifted fourfold Y we find

$$\chi(Y) = \left(\frac{\chi_0(8\,D_v) + 8\,\chi_0(D_w)}{2} + 2\chi(O7)\right) = 1224. \tag{3.7}$$

Since the IIB model gives rise to a non-trivial non-Abelian gauge symmetry, the uplifted fourfold is expected to be generically *singular* over the del Pezzo surface D_w . These singularities need to be resolved to compute the correct value of $\chi(Y)$. In the singular case the value $\chi^*(Y)$ is nevertheless of relevance. As we will make more precise below, $\chi^*(Y)$ is the Euler characteristic of the blown-up Y plus the corrections due to the blow-up divisors.

²Actually the gauge group is SO(8) × SO(1), where the second, trivial, factor is supported on the divisor $8D_v$.

Double del Pezzo transition of the quintic. The second example is defined by one more del Pezzo transition and has two intersecting dP_7 surfaces. In this case the quintic polynomial is restricted such that all monomials containing x_4^k , k > 2 or x_5^m , m > 2 vanish. The resulting quintic now has two non-generic $dP_7 = \mathbb{P}_{1,1,1,2}[4]$ singularities. Blowing these up into del Pezzo surfaces one introduces two additional coordinates w_1 and w_2 and two additional projective equivalences. The new scaling weights of the coordinates are shown below.

vertices of the polyhedron / fan	coords	GLS_{Q^1}	${ m SM~ch}$ Q^2	arges Q^3	divisor class
$\begin{array}{c} v_1 = (-1, -1, -1, -1) \\ v_2 = (1, 0, 0, 0) \\ v_3 = (0, 1, 0, 0) \end{array}$	u_1 u_2 u_3	1 1 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	H H H
$v_{4} = (0, 0, 1, 0)$ $v_{5} = (0, 0, 0, 1)$ $v_{6} = (0, 0, 0, -1)$	v_1 v_2 w_1	1 1 0	0 1 1	1 0 0	$ \begin{array}{c} H + Y \\ H + X \\ X \end{array} $
$v_7 = (0, 0, -1, 0)$	w_2	0	0	1	Y
conditions:		5	2	2	

In the basis $H = D_{u_1}$, $X = D_{w_1}$ and $Y = D_{w_2}$ the triple intersection numbers are

$$H^{3} = 0, \qquad H^{2}X = 2, \qquad HX^{2} = -2, \qquad X^{3} = 2,$$

$$H^{2}Y = 2, \qquad HY^{2} = -2, \qquad Y^{3} = 2, \qquad HXY = 1,$$

$$X^{2}Y = -1, \qquad XY^{2} = -1.$$
(3.8)

For the two dP_7 divisors D_{w_1} and D_{w_2} we consistently find $\chi(D_{w_i}) = 10$. Moreover, they intersect each other over a curve $C = \mathbb{P}^1$ of Euler characteristic

$$\chi(C) = -XY(X+Y) = 2.$$
(3.9)

While there exist various holomorphic involutions, here we are interested in the one which exchanges the two dP_7 divisors:

$$\sigma: \begin{cases} v_1 \leftrightarrow v_2, \\ w_1 \leftrightarrow w_2. \end{cases}$$
(3.10)

This implies that one element $D_{-} = X - Y$ is in $H_{4}^{-}(X)$, while the other combination $D_{+} = X + Y$ is in $H_{4}^{+}(Y)$. In fact, consistent with (2.1), one finds the intersections

$$D_{+}H^{2} = 4, \quad HD_{+}^{2} = -2, \quad D_{+}^{3} = -2,$$

 $D_{+}D_{-}^{2} = 6, \quad HD_{-}^{2} = -6.$
(3.11)

As we will show one finds the intersections of elements in $H_4^+(X)$, the first line of (3.11), in the base of the F-theory fourfold.

Using the identifications Q^2 and Q^3 the fixed point locus of the involution (3.10) is

$$v_1 w_1 = v_2 w_2, \tag{3.12}$$

which defines a surface in [H + X + Y]. Note that this is not the most generic surface in the homology class [H + X + Y], as one could add a term $w_1 w_2 p_1(\mathbf{u})$. Indeed looking at the common intersection of the O7-plane and the hypersurface constraint

$$\sum_{m,n=0}^{2} v_1^m w_2^{2-m} v_2^n w_1^{2-n} p_{5-m-n}(\mathbf{u}) = 0$$
(3.13)

one finds a genus g = 0 curve \mathbb{P}^1 where in addition to (3.12) and (3.13) also $w_i = 0$, and secondly a genus g = 6 curve where also $v_i = 0.^3$ The \mathbb{P}^1 curve is of course the same as the curve C in eq. (3.9) contained in both dP_7 divisors.

Now to cancel the tadpole one can introduce a single D7-brane wrapping a smooth surface in [8(H + X + Y)]. Since the O7-plane is smooth we can compute the Euler characteristic of the uplifted fourfold as

$$\chi^*(Y) = \left(\frac{\chi_0(8(H+X+Y))}{2} + 2\chi(H+X+Y)\right) = 1008, \quad (3.14)$$

where we used $\chi(O7) = 56$. Note that the fourfold Y is truly singular. It is beyond the main scope of the present paper to compute these singular Euler characteristics. Note that in contrast to the first model, here in the uplifted fourfold we expect to find a generic singularity not over a divisor but only over a \mathbb{P}^1 curve.

4 F-theory uplifts

Now we want to uplift these two orientifolds to F-theory on Calabi-Yau fourfolds. Recall that F-theory on an elliptically-fibered Calabi-Yau fourfold Y with base B is equivalent to Type IIB string theory on B with a dilaton-axion $\tau = C_0 + ie^{-\phi}$ varying over this base. In fact, at each point in B the complex number τ can be identified with the complex structure modulus of the elliptic fiber over this point. If Y is a Calabi-Yau manifold, the fiber degenerates over in general intersecting divisors D_i in B subject to the constraint

$$\sum_{i} \delta_{i} D_{i} = 12 c_{1}(B).$$
(4.1)

The δ_i denote the vanishing degree of the discriminant Δ over the divisor D_i as listed for various enhancement types in appendix B. The relation (4.1) follows just from the fact that the descriminant is a section of K_B^{-12} . Hence, the powerful geometrical tools to analyze Y allow to study string compactifications with strong coupling regimes.

To uplift the orientifold models we follow essentially the recipe of [32]. The idea is to first construct the base manifold B and then to consider the Weierstrass fibration over this

 $^{^{3}}$ The authors would like to thank the referee for pointing out a mistake here in the original version of the paper.

space. The base is given by the quotient X/σ and can contain \mathbb{Z}_2 singularities related to the presence of O3-planes in the orientifold model. For the fourfold to be smooth the base of the fibration must be Fano, i.e. its anticanonical bundle K_B^{-1} must be ample. A criterion for this is that

$$-K_B \cdot C > 0 \tag{4.2}$$

for every effective curve. Since we are expecting the two uplifted fourfolds to be singular, the base manifolds will not be Fano.

Single del Pezzo transition. Recall that the involution was $\sigma : v \to -v$, which led to two disjoint components for the O7-locus, namely $\{v = 0\}$ and $\{w = 0\}$. To describe the quotient X/σ we are therefore looking for a map which is 2-to-1 away from the two O7-planes and 1-to-1 on them. This map can readily be defined as

$$(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, v, w) \mapsto (u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, v^2, w^2).$$
 (4.3)

Now we consider the right-hand side as new homogeneous coordinates and introduce $\tilde{v} = v^2$ and $\tilde{w} = w^2$. The toric data of the base threefold is shown below:

vertices of the polyhedron / fan	coords	$\begin{array}{c} \text{GLSM} \\ Q^1 \end{array}$	M charges Q^2	divisor class
$v_1 = (-1, -1, -1, -2)$ $v_2 = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ $v_3 = (0, 1, 0, 0)$ $v_4 = (0, 0, 1, 0)$ $v_5 = (0, 0, 0, 1)$ $v_6 = (0, 0, 0, -1)$	$egin{array}{c} u_1 \ u_2 \ u_3 \ u_4 \ ilde v \ ilde w \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{array} $	0 0 0 1 1	P P P P $2P + X$ X
conditions:		5	1	

Let us discuss the construction of the hypersurface which is the base B. To begin with, we proceed as in the Calabi-Yau case and find the maximal triangulations of the ambient toric space obtained from the polyhedron. However, we then do not consider the hypersurface representing the anti-canonical class $\bar{K} = \sum_i D_i$ of the ambient toric space as in (3.2), but rather the one corresponding to

$$\bar{K} - X - P. \tag{4.4}$$

Note that this is not a Calabi-Yau manifold as $c_1(B) = P + X$. The Stanley-Reisner ideal of the ambient toric space is $\{u_1u_2u_3u_4 = 0\} \cup \{\tilde{v}\tilde{w} = 0\}$ such that the resulting triple intersection form on B reads

$$P^{3} = 1, \qquad P^{2}X = 3, \qquad PX^{2} = -6, \qquad X^{3} = 12.$$
 (4.5)

This is readily compared to the intersection form (3.4) in the orientifold model if one takes the basis $X, \tilde{P} = 2P + X$ where

$$\tilde{P}^3 = 20, \qquad X^3 = 12.$$
 (4.6)

As expected the two O7-components do not intersect. For the Euler characteristics of the base divisors we find

$$\chi(P) = 18, \qquad \chi(2P + X) = 55, \qquad \chi(X) = 9,$$
(4.7)

which shows that the O7-planes do not change their topology.

The fourfold Y is now defined as the Weierstrass model over this base,

$$y^{2} = x^{3} + x z^{4} f(u_{i}, \tilde{v}, \tilde{w}) + z^{6} g(u_{i}, \tilde{v}, \tilde{w}), \qquad (4.8)$$

where z is the section of the fibration, i.e. the divisor $Z = \{z = 0\}$ is the base B_3 . For the hypersurface constraint (4.8) to be well defined f and g have to be sections of appropriate line bundles, i.e. $f \in H^0(B; K_B^{-4})$ and $g \in H^0(B; K_B^{-6})$ with the canonical line bundle given by $K_B = \mathcal{O}(-P - X)$. Note that the total Calabi-Yau fourfold is a complete intersection of two hypersurfaces. In fact, the base B is not of Fano type, as can be seen by checking the criterion (4.2) for the effective curve $C = D_{\tilde{w}} \cap D_{u_1}$,

$$-K_B \cdot X \cdot P = -3. \tag{4.9}$$

Since the fourfold is singular, we cannot directly compute $\chi(Y)$. For such a computation one would first have to resolve the singularities to obtain a smooth Y. Recall that only in the case of a smooth fourfold with only I₁ singularities of the elliptic fibration does one have [38, 39]

$$\chi^*(Y) = 12 \int_B c_1(B) c_2(B) + 360 \int_B c_1^3(B) , \qquad (4.10)$$

where B is the base of the fibration. If we naively apply this equation to the non-Fano base B just constructed, we find $\chi^*(Y) = 1728$. This was also the value (3.6) for the naive cancellation of the tadpoles in the orientifold model. This can be understood as follows. The correct Euler characteristic of the blown-up Y is $\chi^*(Y) - \delta$, where δ is a correction term which depends on the Chern classes of the divisors, curves and points over which the fiber degenerates. For example, if the fiber only degenerates over a divisor D with gauge group G the corrected Euler characteristic of the blowup space is given by [40]

$$\chi(Y) = \chi^*(Y) - r_G c_G (c_G + 1) \int_D c_1(D)^2, \qquad (4.11)$$

where r_G and c_G are the rank and dual Coxeter number of G. This can be generalized to more complicated degenerations in higher codimension [27, 40]. In our example, for an SO(8) singularity along $D_{\tilde{w}}$ (and no additional non-abelian enhancement over any other divisor on B), generically no such higher codimension degenerations occur, and the above formula correctly reproduces $\chi(Y) = 1224$ as computed in the orientifold picture in (3.7). Roughly speaking $\chi^*(Y)$ can be understood as the leading contribution, which will then receive corrections due to the singularities. On the one hand, in the orientifold picture this correction cannot be switched off due to the rigidity of D7-branes on the del Pezzo surface. On the other hand, in the F-theory fourfold there exist no complex structure deformations which allow one to remove the gauge enhancement while preserving the Weierstrass form. Clearly, this matches the fact that deformations of D7-branes are mapped to complex structure deformations of the Calabi-Yau fourfold. Nevertheless, if we subtract these corrections on both sides, the matching of the easily computable numbers $\chi^*(Y)$ with base *B* still provides a non-trivial check of the F-theory up-lift of orientifold models.

In section 5 we will discuss what kind of degenerations and gauge theory enhancements can arise in this singular Weierstrass model and compare it to the orientifold expectation. But first let us present the uplift of the exchange orientifold.

Double del Pezzo transition. For this orientifold we proceed in exactly an analogous manner. The required map which is 1-to-1 on the orientifold locus $v_1 w_1 = v_2 w_2$ and 2-to-1 away from it is

$$(u_1, u_2, u_3, v_1, v_2, w_1, w_2) \mapsto (u_1, u_2, u_3, v_1 v_2, w_1 w_2, v_1 w_1 + v_2 w_2).$$

$$(4.12)$$

Note that the right-hand side has one coordinate less than the left-hand side, which is expected as the holomorphic involution identifies two coordinates. Similarly, we also expect that one projective equivalence drops out. Indeed after introducing new homogeneous coordinates $v = v_1v_2$, $w = w_1w_2$, $h = v_1w_1 + v_2w_2$, the base manifold is described by the toric data below:

vertices of the polyhedron / fan	coords	$\begin{array}{c} \text{GLSM} \\ Q^1 \end{array}$	M charges Q^2	divisor class
$v_1 = (-1, -1, -2, -1)$ $v_2 = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ $v_3 = (0, 1, 0, 0)$ $v_4 = (0, 0, 1, 0)$ $v_5 = (0, 0, 0, 1)$	$egin{array}{c} u_1 \ u_2 \ u_3 \ v \ h \end{array}$	1 1 1 2 1	0 0 0 1 1	P P P $2P + X$ $P + X$
$v_6 = (0, 0, -1, -1)$	w	0	1	X
conditions:		5	2	

The resulting triple intersection form on B reads

$$P^2 X = 2, \qquad P X^2 = -1, \qquad X^3 = -1,$$
(4.13)

leading to

$$\chi(P) = 13, \quad \chi(2P + X) = 46, \quad \chi(X) = 10, \quad \chi(2P + 2X) = 56.$$
 (4.14)

Therefore, X can be identified as the invariant dP_7 divisor D_+ introduced before (3.11) and 2P + 2X as the divisor class of the orientifold plane. Indeed, as expected the triple intersections (4.13) for the fourfold base and the first line in the orientifold intersections (3.11) agree up to an overall factor 2.

The fourfold Y is again defined as the Weierstrass model over this base

$$y^{2} = x^{3} + x z^{4} f(u_{i}, v, h, w) + z^{6} g(u_{i}, v, h, w), \qquad (4.15)$$

giving again a (singular) complete intersection of two hypersurfaces. As anticipated, the base B is not of Fano type as can seen by computing for the effective curve $C = D_w \cap D_h$

$$-K_B \cdot X \cdot (P+X) = -1. \tag{4.16}$$

Therefore a smooth Y to determine $\chi(Y)$ has to be obtained by blowing up the singularities. However, as above, we can employ (4.10) to compute the leading order Euler characteristic $\chi^*(Y) = 1008$ which has to be corrected by the topological data of the blow-ups. Again this matches with the naive computation for the orientifold side (3.14).

5 Consequences for F-theory GUTs

Since in the last two sections we have established the F-theory lift of certain orientifold models, we can now study and compare the possible gauge theory enhancements. In the Type IIB orientifold construction these are given by the Chan-Paton factors for the D7-branes. As is well known this gives only rise to adjoint, bifundamental, symmetric and antisymmetric representations of U(N), SO(N) and SP(N). Clearly, this excludes all exceptional gauge groups. On the F-theory side the gauge group is encoded in the degenerations of the elliptic fiber over the base manifold. Since we have the explicit Weierstrass model available, we can use the Tate algorithm to determine the possible types of degenerations. In principle we could imagine classifying all possible gauge groups that arise in this way. However, in this paper we will only be discussing certain interesting cases. Moreover, in this article we do not consider any G_4 -form flux, which would be the uplift of gauge fluxes on the D7-branes. One should however keep in mind that in a fully consistent model the Freed-Witten anomaly forces us to have some fluxes non-vanishing.⁴

Tate algorithm. For completeness we present here a short explanation of how the Tate algorithm is used to determine the degeneration of the elliptic curve [41]. Instead of the reduced form (4.8), one uses the complete Tate form of the hypersurface constraint

$$y^{2} + x y z a_{1} + y z^{3} a_{3} = x^{3} + x^{2} z^{2} a_{2} + x z^{4} a_{4} + z^{6} a_{6},$$
(5.1)

where the a_n are sections of K_B^{-n} . In terms of the combinations

$$b_2 = a_1^2 + 4 a_2, \qquad b_4 = a_1 a_3 + 2a_4, \qquad b_6 = a_3^2 + 4 a_6$$
 (5.2)

the functions f and g in the Weierstrass form are given by

$$f = -\frac{1}{48}(b_2^2 - 24b_4), \qquad g = -\frac{1}{864}(-b_2^3 + 36b_2b_4 - 216b_6). \tag{5.3}$$

The discriminant can then be expressed as

$$\Delta_F = -\frac{1}{4}b_2^2(b_2b_6 - b_4^2) - 8b_4^3 - 27b_6^2 + 9b_2b_4b_6.$$
(5.4)

⁴For examples of this phenomenon in the IIB limit see [30].

As detailed in [41], the possible singularities of the fiber and the corresponding gauge groups can be read off almost entirely from the vanishing order of the sections a_n and of the discriminant on the location of the D7-branes. For convenience of the reader we have collected the required data in appendix B. We recall from [41] that a vanishing degree dof a_n in the table along divisor $D_x = [x = 0]$ is to be interpreted in the sense that a_n can be written as $a_{n,d} x^d$.

To recover Sen's orientifold limit [33] one rescales the b_i as⁵

$$b_2 = -12h, \qquad b_4 = 2\epsilon\eta, \qquad b_6 = -\frac{\epsilon^2}{4}\chi.$$
 (5.5)

The orientifold limit is defined by taking $\epsilon \to 0$ such that the string coupling becomes weak away from h = 0. The leading order discriminant then takes the form

$$\Delta_{\epsilon} = -9\epsilon^2 h^2 (\eta^2 - h\chi) \tag{5.6}$$

plus corrections cubic or higher in ϵ . This is just the first term in the full F-theory discriminant (5.4). The D7-branes and O7-planes are thus located at

O7:
$$h = 0,$$

D7: $\eta^2 = h\chi.$ (5.7)

The Type IIB theory is defined on the Calabi-Yau threefold X which is a double cover of the base B branched over h = 0. Note that (5.7) shows already that the defining equation for a D7-brane configuration with a well-defined F-theory uplift is non-generic [35, 36].

Away from the limit $\epsilon \to 0$ the factorization of the discriminant into a perturbative O7-plane and the perturbative D7-branes is generically lost. The F-theoretic description takes into account the non-perturbative effects which smoothen out the singular orientifold plane. For a single stack of D7-branes this does not mean that the gauge symmetry on the D7-branes is automatically reduced to lower rank in the F-theory. Rather, as long as the singularity type of the higher order terms in ϵ is worse in the sense of Tate's algorithm, the perturbative gauge group persists also in the full F-theory. More generally, unless Δ_F exhibits the same factorization properties as Δ_{ϵ} the rank of the (product) gauge group can be reduced along a non-perturbative Higgs branch.

5.1 Single del Pezzo transition

Let us now consider a couple of interesting degenerations of the elliptic fiber occurring in the uplift of the first orientifold model.

Non-abelian gauge symmetry on the orientifold locus. We first consider the sublocus in complex structure moduli space with a well-defined orientifold limit. Recall that $\{b_2 = a_1^2 + 4a_2 = 0\}$ defines the location of the O7-planes. Taking into account that $a_n \in H^0(B; \mathcal{O}(n(P+X)))$, the general form of a_1 and a_2 is

$$a_1 = p_1(\mathbf{u})\,\tilde{w}, \qquad a_2 = c_0\,\tilde{v}\,\tilde{w} + p_2(\mathbf{u})\,\tilde{w}^2.$$
 (5.8)

⁵Equivalently, one can rescale $a_3 \to \epsilon a_3$, $a_4 \to \epsilon a_4$, $a_6 \to \epsilon^2 a_6$ and send $\epsilon \to 0$.

Here $p_n(\mathbf{u})$ denotes a polynomial of degree n in u_1, u_2, u_3 and $c_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ a complex structure modulus. Requiring that the F-theory really describes the uplift of the orientifold fixes some of the complex structure moduli in (5.8) such that the O7-plane is located at $\tilde{v} \tilde{w} = 0$. This translates into $p_2(\mathbf{u}) = -\frac{1}{4}p_1^2(\mathbf{u})$.

The simplest brane configuration in Type IIB occurs when we cancel the orientifold charge locally by placing a stack of 8 branes on top of the divisor D_v and a stack of 8 branes on top of D_w . Without any gauge flux the resulting gauge group is simply SO(8) × SO(8). The expected Euler characteristic of the singular fourfold is

$$\chi(Y) = \left(\frac{8\chi_{\rm o}(H+X) + 8\chi_{\rm o}(X)}{2} + 2\chi(O7)\right) = 384.$$
(5.9)

Consistently, this number reduces considerably by introducing stacks of D7-branes. In F-theory this effect must be captured by correctly defining $\chi(Y)$ for the now singular fourfolds [27, 39, 40].

For the special case of local orientifold charge cancellation the coupling constant g_s is a constant everywhere and can be taken as a free parameter. As a first consistency check, the corresponding gauge group must also be reproduced from the F-theory point of view. Indeed application of Tate's algorithm with the help of appendix B identifies the corresponding F-theory configuration with gauge group SO(8) × SO(8) as

$$a_1 = 0, \quad a_2 = \tilde{v}\,\tilde{w}, \quad a_3 = 0, \quad a_4 = c_1(\tilde{v}\,\tilde{w})^2, \quad a_6 = 0.$$
 (5.10)

Next we determine the possible maximal non-Abelian gauge symmetry in the orientifold limit both from a IIB and from an F-theoretic point of view. In the orientifold model, one can cancel the D7-brane tadpole by introducing a stack of eight D7-branes wrapping the divisor $D_{u_1} = H$ and sixteen D7-branes wrapping the dP_6 surface $D_w = X$. Without any gauge flux, this yields gauge group SP(8) × SO(16). The expected Euler characteristic of the singular fourfold is

$$\chi(Y) = \left(\frac{8\chi_{\rm o}(H) + 16\chi_{\rm o}(X)}{2} + 2\chi(O7)\right) = 312.$$
(5.11)

Since H and X intersect there exists also non-chiral matter on the intersection curve. The question now is whether one can find this gauge group also in the F-theory lift, i.e. whether one can arrange for the elliptic fiber to degenerate such as to produce an SP(8) singularity over D_{u_1} and an SO(16) over $D_{\tilde{w}}$. A priori it is not excluded that this gauge symmetry is reduced once effects non-perturbative in g_s are taken into account. The point is that for configurations where the charge of the orientifold plane is not cancelled locally, g_s cannot be considered as constant or taken to be arbitrarily small everywhere. While in F-theory the backreaction of the D-branes is fully taken into account, the orientifold approach treats all branes in the probe approximation, and extra surprises might happen.

Instead one finds that it is still possible to achieve this maximal enhancement along $u_1 = 0$ and $\tilde{w} = 0$ on the sublocus in complex structure moduli space where

$$a_{1} = p_{1}(\mathbf{u}) \,\tilde{w}, \qquad a_{2} = \tilde{v} \,\tilde{w} - \frac{1}{4} \left(p_{1}(\mathbf{u}) \,\tilde{w} \right)^{2}, \qquad a_{3} = 0,$$

$$a_{4} = c_{1} \, u_{1}^{4} \tilde{w}^{4}, \qquad a_{6} = 0.$$
(5.12)

On this locus the non-Abelian gauge group on D_{u_1} is SP(8) and the gauge group on $D_{\tilde{w}}$ is SO(16).

On the other hand, non-perturbative effects still leave their imprint on the geometry. To see this we compare the expressions (5.4) and (5.6) for the discriminant locus in Sen's limit and in the full F-theory,

$$\Delta_{\epsilon} = 16c_1^2 u_1^8 \tilde{w}^{10} \tilde{v}^2, \qquad \Delta_F = 16c_1^2 u_1^8 \tilde{w}^{10} (\tilde{v}^2 - 4c_1 u_1^4 \tilde{w}^2). \tag{5.13}$$

What happens is that once the genuinely F-theoretic higher corrections in Δ are taken into account, the component $\tilde{v} = 0$ of the O-plane, whose charge is not cancelled locally, splits into two objects $\tilde{v} = \pm 2\sqrt{c}u_1^2\tilde{w}$. This splitting of the O-plane into two non-perturbative 7-branes in F-theory is familiar from compactifications to eight [42] and six [33, 43] dimensions. We find it interesting to have an explicit laboratory to study this effect in four-dimensional vacua.

As elaborated in section 3, we are expecting that there also exists a minimal non-Abelian gauge symmetry on the dP_6 surface. Indeed it is easy to see that the sections a_n cannot avoid some overall factors in \tilde{w} , i.e.

$$a_n = \tilde{w}^{d_n}(\dots)$$
 with $(d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4, d_6) = (1, 1, 2, 2, 3)$ (5.14)

which according to the Tate algorithm gives a G_2 singularity. In the Sen limit this exceptional gauge group gets exhanced to SO(8), as $a_6/a_i \rightarrow 0$ for $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ and i = 3, 4.6 Thus, the F-theory minimal gauge group is smaller than the perturbative one.

Exceptional gauge groups. From eqs. (5.8) and (5.12) and table 5 it is clear that in the orientifold uplift we can only get orthogonal gauge groups on the del Pezzo surface D_w . However, by choosing the complex structure such that $c_0 = 0$ in (5.8), we have a chance to also find exceptional gauge groups. In this case $b_2 \neq \tilde{v}\tilde{w}$ and this does not correspond to any orientifold model. Let us exemplify this with an E_6 singularity over the dP_6 surface. This can be engineered by choosing

$$a_{1} = p_{(1,0)} \tilde{w}, \qquad a_{2} = p_{(2,0)} \tilde{w}^{2}, \qquad a_{3} = p_{(3,1)} \tilde{w}^{2}, a_{4} = p_{(4,1)} \tilde{w}^{3}, \qquad a_{6} = p_{(6,1)} \tilde{w}^{5},$$
(5.15)

with $p_{(m,n)}$ denoting a section of $\mathcal{O}(mP + nX)$ which is not just of the form $p_4(\mathbf{u})\tilde{w}$. For this choice one gets an E_6 singularity, which is enhanced to E_7 for $p_{(3,1)} = 0$. On the curve $\tilde{w} = p_{(3,1)} = 0$ we thus find matter fields in the fundamental **27** representation. The singularity is further enhanced to E_8 where in addition $p_{(4,1)} = 0$. Therefore, on the intersection locus

$$\{w = p_{(3,1)} = p_{(4,1)} = 0\}$$
(5.16)

one finds the Yukawa couplings 27^3 . The number of these points is X(3P+X)(4P+X) = 6. We conclude that on the uplifted orientifold base, it is possible to engineer exceptional gauge symmetries by moving away from the orientifold locus in F-theory complex structure moduli space.

⁶Note that due to the quadratic and, respectively, linear dependence of b_6 on a_3 and a_6 we do not neglect a_6 in the (perturbative) discriminant but only in determining the maximal vanishing degrees of the a_i in the table in appendix B, which are now (1, 1, 2, 2, 4).

Spinors of SO(10)

Having realized E_6 it is then natural to ask whether one can also start with SO(10) on the del Pezzo and find the spinor representation on some curve where the fiber is enhanced to E_6 . The sections for realizing SO(10) on D_w are

$$a_{1} = p_{(1,0)} \tilde{w}, \qquad a_{2} = p_{(2,1)} \tilde{w}, \qquad a_{3} = p_{(3,1)} \tilde{w}^{2}, a_{4} = p_{(4,1)} \tilde{w}^{3}, \qquad a_{6} = p_{(6,1)} \tilde{w}^{5},$$
(5.17)

which is enhanced to E_6 on the curve $w = p_{(2,1)} = 0$. However, for our base we have X(2P + X) = 0 so that the intersection is empty. This can be traced back to the fact that the two orientifold planes in the Calabi-Yau do not intersect. We conclude that while it is possible with the uplift of our first orientifold to get exceptional gauge symmetries, spinors of SO(10) are still not possible. What one could do however, is to break the E_6 to SO(10) via additional U(1) fluxes. In this way, one would also get spinors of SO(10) and the **161610** Yukawa coupling but at the price of introducing potential exotic matter states.

5.2 Double del Pezzo transition

Let us now consider the uplift of the second orientifold defined via the double dP_7 transition with the exchange orientifold projection. In this case a_1 and a_2 can have more terms

$$a_1 = c_h h + p_1(\mathbf{u}) w, \qquad a_2 = c_0 v w + c_{h^2} h^2 + q_1(\mathbf{u}) h w + p_2(\mathbf{u}) w^2.$$
 (5.18)

For the orientifold uplift, we expect b_2 to be given by

$$b_2 = \eta (h^2 - 4v w), \tag{5.19}$$

where $\eta \neq 0$ is some unknown constant. This restricts a_2 to take the form

$$a_2 = -\eta v w + \frac{\eta - c_{h^2}}{4} h^2 - \frac{c_h}{2} p_1(\mathbf{u}) h w - \frac{1}{4} p_1^2(\mathbf{u}) w^2.$$
(5.20)

Recall from our discussion around eq. (3.13) that in this case, we are not expecting a generic degeneration of the Weierstrass fibration over a surface but only over a rigid curve \mathbb{P}^1 . A closer look reveals that generically the sections a_n cannot avoid some overall factors in g = w = h, i.e.

$$a_n = g^{d_n}(\dots)$$
 with $(d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4, d_6) = (1, 1, 2, 2, 3),$ (5.21)

which gives a G_2 singularity over the genus zero curve $D_w \cap D_h$.

On the complex structure locus $c_h = \eta \neq 0$ along the dP_7 divisor $D_w = X$, SU(N) degenerations are possible. This agrees with our expectations from the orientifold, as in the upstairs Calabi-Yau threefold the two dP_7 s get exchanged and thus carry unitary Chan-Paton labels. The maximal gauge group in Type IIB theory is now SP(8) × SU(8), corresponding to the D7-tadpole canceling configuration of 8 branes on, say, D_{u_1} and D_{w_1} (plus their image on D_{w_2}). This configuration is easily matched in F-theory for

$$a_{1} = c_{h}h + p_{1}(\mathbf{u})w, \qquad a_{2} = -c_{h}vw - \frac{c_{h}}{2}p_{1}(\mathbf{u})hw - \frac{1}{4}p_{1}^{2}(\mathbf{u})w^{2}, \qquad a_{3} = 0,$$

$$a_{4} = c_{d}u_{1}^{4}w^{4}, \qquad a_{6} = 0.$$
(5.22)

A similar splitting of the O7-plane is observed as for the previous model.

Let us study possible GUT enhancements off the orientifold locus. By choosing $c_h = c_{h^2} = 0$ in (5.18), we can also arrange for an orthogonal gauge group along D_w . For sections a_i as in (5.17) one finds an SO(10) singularity with the potential spinors supported on $w = p_{(2,1)} = 0$. However, for the second base $X(2P + X) \neq 0$ but instead defines a genus g = 1 curve on dP_7 . The Higgs fields in the **10** representation are localized on the genus g = 4 curve $w = p_{(3,1)} = 0$ and the **161610** Yukawa coupling on the points $w = p_{(2,1)} = p_{(3,1)} = 0$. There are X(2P + X)(3P + X) = 6 such points.

Therefore, for the exchange involution, after moving away from the orientifold locus, we can get SO(10) GUTs with spinor and vector representations and the **161610** Yukawa couplings.⁷

Yukawas 10 10 5_H of SU(5). We conclude by studying whether for the second fourfold one can also find SU(5) GUTs with 10 and $\overline{5}$ representation and in particular the perturbatively absent 10 10 5_H Yukawa couplings. From the Tate algorithm, the sections for realizing SU(5) on D_w are

$$a_{1} = p_{(1,1)}, \qquad a_{2} = p_{(2,1)} w, \qquad a_{3} = p_{(3,1)} w^{2}, a_{4} = p_{(4,1)} w^{3}, \qquad a_{6} = p_{(6,1)} w^{5}.$$
(5.23)

This gets enhanced to SO(10) along the genus g = 0 curve

$$SO(10): \quad \{w = p_{(1,1)} = 0\}$$
(5.24)

supporting matter in the 10 representation. In addition one finds matter in the 5 representation on the curve of SU(6) enhancement

SU(6):
$$\{w = p_{(3,1)}^2 p_{(2,1)} - p_{(4,1)} p_{(3,1)} p_{(1,1)} + p_{(6,1)} p_{(1,1)}^2 = 0\}$$
 (5.25)

as follows from an explicit analysis of the discriminant. Extra enhancement to SO(12) occurs for $\{w = p_{(1,1)} = p_{(3,1)} = 0\}$. At this X(P + X)(3P + X) = 1 point the bottomquark Yukawa couplings $\mathbf{1055}_{\mathbf{H}}$ are localized. The $\mathbf{10105}_{\mathbf{H}}$ Yukawa couplings would be localized at the further E_6 enhancement $\{w = p_{(1,1)} = p_{(2,1)} = 0\}$. However, on our second base X(P + X)(2P + X) = 0 so that this F-theory model fails to support the top-quark Yukawas.

6 Conclusions

In this note we have found the F-theory uplift of Calabi-Yau threefolds which contain shrinkable del Pezzo surfaces with non-trivial relative homology. Such geometries had been used in [30] to implement phenomenologically appealing SU(5) GUT models into Type IIB

⁷This seems to be in contrast to the statement made in [28] that for uplifted orientifold models the spinor representation of SO(10) would be localized on a curve of \mathbb{Z}_2 singularities. However, there the assumption was made that the threefold is of the form $\xi^2 = b_2$ with involution $\xi \to -\xi$, which is not the case for our examples.

orientifold compactifications. Our method, inspired by [32], has been to first define a base threefold as the orientifold quotient of the Calabi-Yau threefold and then to consider a Weierstrass model thereof. The resulting Calabi-Yau fourfold can be described as a complete intersection of a toric ambient sixfold. We have seen that orientifolds with stacks of D7-branes on del Pezzo surfaces generically lead to singular fourfolds with a degenerate Weierstrass fibration over a non-Fano base. These singularities have to be resolved in order to compute the fourfold Euler characteristic and match the geometric D3-brane tadpole in the orientifold models.

We have analyzed explicitly which special subloci of the complex structure moduli space of these fourfolds correspond in Sen's limit to a perturbative IIB compactification. With the help of Tate's algorithm we have engineered some possible gauge groups arising on the degenerations of the fourfold both for models with an orientifold limit and for more general configurations. As one of our main findings we have identified smooth deformations in the F-theory complex structure moduli space which take a configuration with a perturbative IIB limit to a setup with only non-perturbatively possible exceptional gauge groups or spinor representations. As far as possible applications to GUT model building are concerned we have realized an SO(10) GUT group with spinor representations and the necessary structure for Yukawa couplings. The top Yukawa couplings for a GUT SU(5) could however not be obtained from the specific uplifts we consider here. It will be interesting to see whether more general complete intersection Calabi-Yau fourfolds can permit them.

Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge discussions with T. W. Ha, H. Jockers, D. Klevers, E. Plauschinn and especially A. Klemm. RB would like to thank the Galileo Galilei Institute for Theoretical Physics for hospitality and the INFN for partial support during the completion of this work. TG and TW thank the KITP Santa Barbara and the MPI Munich for hospitality. The computations of the toric geometry where carried out using [44] and the Maple package schubert. TW is supported by the DOE under contract DE-AC03-76SF00515. This work was supported in parts by the European Union 6th framework program MRTN-CT-2004-503069 "Quest for unification", MRTN-CT-2004-005104 "ForcesUniverse", MRTN-CT-2006-035863 "UniverseNet", SFB-Transregio 33 "The Dark Universe" by the DFG.

A More F-theory uplifts

In addition to the examples covered in sections 3 and 4, the outlined uplifting procedure was applied to a number of further orientifold involutions and base geometries.

The data is read as follows: The left column contains the orientifold information, where the 'base space' refers to the upstairs geometry of the threefold X. The Euler characteristic is found under 'topology'. Coordinates of the del Pezzo-transitions of the quintic (tables 1 and 2) are in accordance to the main text. For the conventions of the later examples of elliptically-fibered threefolds over del Pezzo bases we refer to section 4 of [30]. The naming scheme $M_n^{(dP_{i_1},\ldots,dP_{i_n})}$ refers to the threefold with base dP_n , where the divisors of the last ncoordinates are of type dP_{i_1},\ldots,dP_{i_n} in the respective order. Note that dP_9 and dP_{10} are not del Pezzo surfaces, but are likewise defined by blowing up \mathbb{P}^2 at 9 or 10 distict points.

orientifol	d data (3-fold)	uplift data (4-fold)		
base space:	dP_6 -trans. of $\mathbb{P}^4[5]$	new coords:	$\tilde{v} = v^2$	
involution:	$v\mapsto -v$		$\tilde{w} = w^2$	
topology:	$\chi(X) = -176$	topology:	$\chi(B) = -56$	
O7-planes:	$D_v \ (\chi = 55)$		$D_{\tilde{v}} \ (\chi = 55)$	
	$D_w \ (\chi = 9, \ dP_6)$		$D_{\tilde{w}} (\chi = 9, dP_6)$	
prediction:	$\chi^*(Y) = 1728$	computation:	$\chi^*(Y) = 1728$	
involution:	$x_2 \mapsto -x_2$	new coords:	$\tilde{x}_2 = (x_2)^2$	
topology:	$\chi(X) = -176$	topology:	$\chi(B) = -65$	
O7-planes:	$D_2 \ (\chi = 46)$		$D_{\tilde{2}} \ (\chi = 46)$	
prediction:	$\chi^*(Y) = 612$	computation:	$\chi^*(Y) = 612$	

Table 1. Two different orientifold involutions for the single del Pezzo transition of the quintic $\mathbb{P}^{4}[5]$, which contains a dP_{6} divisor. The first involution $v \mapsto -v$ is covered in detail in the main text.

orientife	old data (3-fold)	uplift data (4-fold)		
base space:	$(dP_7)^2$ -trans. of $\mathbb{P}^4[5]$	new coords:	$\begin{aligned} \tilde{v} &= v_1 v_2 \\ \tilde{w} &= w_1 w_2 \\ \tilde{h} &= v_1 w_1 + v_2 w_2 \end{aligned}$	
involution: topology: O7-planes:	$v_1 \leftrightarrow v_2$ $w_1 \leftrightarrow w_2$ $\chi(X) = -152$ $D_{v_1} + D_{w_1} (\chi = 56)$ *(V)	topology:	$\chi(B) = -48$ $D_{\tilde{v}} (\chi = 46)$ $D_{\tilde{h}} (\chi = 24)$ $D_{\tilde{w}} (\chi = 10, dP_7)$	
prediction: involution: topology: O7-planes: prediction:	$\chi^*(Y) = 1008$ $u_2 \mapsto -u_2$ $\chi(X) = -152$ $D_{u_2} (\chi = 36)$ $\chi^*(Y) = 216$	computation: new coords: topology: computation:	$\chi^{*}(Y) = 1008$ $\tilde{u}_{2} = (u_{2})^{2}$ $\chi(B) = -58$ $D_{\tilde{u}_{2}} (\chi = 36)$ $\chi^{*}(Y) = 216$	

Table 2. Two different orientifold involutions for the double del Pezzo transition of the quintic $\mathbb{P}^{4}[5]$, which contains two dP_{7} divisors. The first involution (exchange of coordinates $v_{1} \leftrightarrow v_{2}$, $w_{1} \leftrightarrow w_{2}$) is covered in detail in the main text.

In the right column the corresponding uplift data of the elliptically-fibered Calabi-Yau fourfold is provided. The added divisor corresponds to the coordinate of weight 1 in the $\mathbb{P}_{3,2,1}[6]$ elliptic fiber and embeds the downstairs threefold base *B* into the fourfold. Under 'topology' one finds the Euler characteristic of the downstairs base and the O7-planes with respect to the new coordinates. Finally, we compute the Euler characteristic of the fourfold, where we find perfect agreement to the predicted value from the threefold side in each case. The symbol χ^* for the fourfold Euler characteristic refers to the fact that *Y* might be singular, refer to section 4 for a proper definition.

orientifold o	lata (3-fold)	uplift data (4-fold)			
base space:	$M_1^{(dP_8)}$				
involution:	$x_3 \mapsto -x_3$	new coords:	$\tilde{x}_3 = (x_3)^2$		
topology:	$\chi(X) = -480$	topology:	$\chi(B) = -222$		
O7-planes:	$D_3 \ (\chi = 36)$		$D_{\tilde{3}} (\chi = 36)$		
prediction:	$\chi^*(Y) = 216$	computation:	$\chi^*(Y) = 216$		
base space:	$M_1^{(dP_9)}$				
involution:	$x_3 \mapsto -x_3$	new coords:	$\tilde{x}_3 = (x_3)^2$		
topology:	$\chi(X) = -480$	topology:	$\chi(B) = -222$		
O7-planes:	$D_3 \ (\chi = 36)$		$D_{\tilde{3}} (\chi = 36)$		
prediction:	$\chi^*(Y) = 216$	computation:	$\chi^*(Y) = 216$		

Table 3. There are two different Calabi-Yau phases for the dP_1 surface, i.e. the blowup of \mathbb{P}^2 at a single point. Accordingly, one finds two phases for an elliptically-fibered threefold over dP_1 base and the respective fourfold uplift. The involution $x_3 \mapsto -x_3$ corresponds to an inversion of a dP_1 base coordinate, see [30].

orientifole	d data (3-fold)	uplift data (4-fold)		
base space:	$M_2^{(dP_8)^2}$	new coords:	$\tilde{x}_3 = (x_3)^2$	
involution:	$x_3 \mapsto -x_3$		$\tilde{x}_7 = (x_7)^2$	
topology:	$\chi(X) = -420$	topology:	$\chi(B) = -192$	
O7-planes:	$D_3~(\chi=25,\mathrm{K3^*})$		$D_{\tilde{3}} \ (\chi = 25, \mathrm{K3^*})$	
	$D_7 \ (\chi = 11, dP_8)$		$D_{\tilde{7}} \ (\chi = 11, \ dP_8)$	
prediction:	$\chi^*(Y) = 216$	computation:	$\chi^*(Y) = 216$	
base space:	$M_2^{(dP_8,dP_9)}$	new coords:	$\tilde{x}_3 = (x_3)^2$	
involution:	$x_3 \mapsto -x_3$		$\tilde{x}_7 = (x_7)^2$	
topology:	$\chi(X) = -420$	topology:	$\chi(B) = -\frac{385}{2} = -192 - \frac{1}{2}$	
O7-planes:	$D_3 \ (\chi = 24, \mathrm{K3})$		$D_{\tilde{3}} \ (\chi = 24, \mathrm{K3})$	
	$D_7 \ (\chi = 11, dP_8)$		$D_{\tilde{7}} (\chi = 11, dP_8)$	
prediction:	$\chi^*(Y) = 378$	computation:	$\chi^*(Y) = 378$	
base space:	$M_2^{(dP_9,dP_8)}$	new coords:	$\tilde{x}_3 = (x_3)^2$	
involution:	$x_3 \mapsto -x_3$		$\tilde{x}_7 = (x_7)^2$	
topology:	$\chi(X) = -420$	topology:	$\chi(B) = -\frac{383}{2} = -192 + \frac{1}{2}$	
O7-planes:	$D_3 \ (\chi = 25, \mathrm{K3^*})$		$D_{\tilde{3}} \ (\chi = 25, \mathrm{K3^*})$	
	$D_7 \ (\chi = 12, dP_9)$		$D_{\tilde{7}} (\chi = 12, dP_9)$	
prediction:	$\chi^*(Y) = 54$	computation:	$\chi^*(Y) = 54$	
base space:	$M_2^{(dP_9)^2}$	new coords:	$\tilde{x}_3 = (x_3)^2$	
involution:	$x_3 \mapsto -x_3$		$\tilde{x}_7 = (x_7)^2$	
topology:	$\chi(X) = -420$	topology:	$\chi(B) = -192$	
O7-planes:	$D_3 \ (\chi = 24, \mathrm{K3})$		$D_{\tilde{3}} \ (\chi = 24, \mathrm{K3})$	
	$D_7 \ (\chi = 12, dP_9)$		$D_{\tilde{7}} (\chi = 12, dP_9)$	
prediction:	$\chi^*(Y) = 216$	computation:	$\chi^*(Y) = 216$	
base space:	$M_2^{(dP_{10})^2}$	new coords:	$\tilde{x}_3 = (x_3)^2$	
involution:	$x_3 \mapsto -x_3$		$\tilde{x}_7 = (x_7)^2$	
topology:	$\chi(X) = -420$	topology:	$\chi(B) = -\frac{383}{2} = -192 + \frac{1}{2}$	
O7-planes:	$D_3 \ (\chi = 24, \mathrm{K3})$		$D_{\tilde{3}} \ (\chi = 24, \mathrm{K3})$	
	$D_7 \ (\chi = 13, dP_{10})$		$D_{\tilde{7}} (\chi = 13, dP_{10})$	
prediction:	$\chi^*(Y) = 54$	computation:	$\chi^*(Y) = 54$	

Table 4. There are five different Calabi-Yau phases for the dP_2 surface. Accordingly, one finds five phases for an elliptically-fibered threefold over dP_2 base and the respective fourfold uplift. The involution $x_3 \mapsto -x_3$ corresponds to an inversion of a dP_2 base coordinate, see [30]. The half-integer Euler characteristics are related to the presence of an odd number of O3-planes in the respective cases.

B Tate algorithm

sing.	discr.	gauge e	enhancement	со	efficien	t vanish	ing deg	rees
type	$\deg(\Delta)$	type	group	a_1	a_2	a_3	a_4	a_6
I ₀	0			0	0	0	0	0
I_1	1			0	0	1	1	1
I_2	2	A_1	SU(2)	0	0	1	1	2
I_3^{ns}	3		[unconv.]	0	0	2	2	3
I_3^s	3		[unconv.]	0	1	1	2	3
I_{2k}^{ns}	2k	C_{2k}	SP(2k)	0	0	k	k	2k
I_{2k}^{s}	2k	A_{2k-1}	$\mathrm{SU}(2k)$	0	1	k	k	2k
$\mathbf{I}_{2k+1}^{\mathrm{ns}}$	2k + 1		[unconv.]	0	0	k+1	k+1	2k + 1
$\mathbf{I}_{2k+1}^{\mathrm{s}}$	2k + 1	A_{2k}	$\mathrm{SU}(2k+1)$	0	1	k	k+1	2k + 1
II	2			1	1	1	1	1
III	3	A_1	SU(2)	1	1	1	1	2
$\rm IV^{ns}$	4		[unconv.]	1	1	1	2	2
IV ^s	4	A_2	${ m SU}(3)$	1	1	1	2	3
$I_0^{*\mathrm{ns}}$	6	G_2	G_2	1	1	2	2	3
$I_0^{* ss}$	6	B_3	SO(7)	1	1	2	2	4
$I_0^{* s}$	6	D_4	SO(8)	1	1	2	2	4
$I_1^{*\mathrm{ns}}$	7	B_4	SO(9)	1	1	2	3	4
I_1^{*s}	7	D_5	SO(10)	1	1	2	3	5
I_2^{*ns}	8	B_5	SO(11)	1	1	3	3	5
$I_2^{* s}$	8	D_6	SO(12)	1	1	3	3	5
$I_{2k-3}^{* \text{ ns}}$	2k + 3	B_{2k}	SO(4k+1)	1	1	k	k+1	2k
I_{2k-3}^{*s}	2k + 3	D_{2k+1}	SO(4k+2)	1	1	k	k+1	2k + 1
$I_{2k-2}^{* ns}$	2k + 4	B_{2k+1}	SO(4k+3)	1	1	k+1	k+1	2k + 1
$\mathbf{I}_{2k-2}^{*\mathrm{s}}$	2k + 4	D_{2k+2}	SO(4k+4)	1	1	k+1	k+1	2k + 1
IV ^{* ns}	8	F_4	F_4	1	2	2	3	4
IV^{*s}	8	E_6	E_6	1	2	2	3	5
III*	9	E_7	E_7	1	2	3	3	5
II^*	10	E_8	E_8	1	2	3	4	5
non-min	12			1	2	3	4	6

Table 5. Refined Kodaira classification resulting from Tate's algorithm. In order to distinguish the "semi-split" case I_{2k}^{*s} from the "split" case I_{2k}^{*s} one has to work out a further factorization condition which is part of the aforementioned algorithm, see §3.1 of [41].

References

- H.P. Nilles, S. Ramos-Sanchez, M. Ratz and P.K.S. Vaudrevange, From strings to the MSSM, Eur. Phys. J. C 59 (2009) 249 [arXiv:0806.3905] [SPIRES].
- [2] R. Blumenhagen, M. Cvetič, P. Langacker and G. Shiu, Toward realistic intersecting D-brane models, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 55 (2005) 71 [hep-th/0502005] [SPIRES].
- [3] R. Blumenhagen, B. Körs, D. Lüst and S. Stieberger, Four-dimensional string compactifications with D-branes, orientifolds and fluxes, Phys. Rept. 445 (2007) 1 [hep-th/0610327] [SPIRES].
- [4] F. Marchesano, Progress in D-brane model building, Fortsch. Phys. 55 (2007) 491 [hep-th/0702094] [SPIRES].
- [5] R. Blumenhagen, B. Körs, D. Lüst and T. Ott, The standard model from stable intersecting brane world orbifolds, Nucl. Phys. B 616 (2001) 3 [hep-th/0107138] [SPIRES].
- [6] R. Donagi and M. Wijnholt, Model building with F-theory, arXiv:0802.2969 [SPIRES].
- [7] C. Beasley, J.J. Heckman and C. Vafa, GUTs and exceptional branes in F-theory I, JHEP 01 (2009) 058 [arXiv:0802.3391] [SPIRES].
- [8] C. Beasley, J.J. Heckman and C. Vafa, GUTs and exceptional branes in F-theory II: experimental predictions, JHEP 01 (2009) 059 [arXiv:0806.0102] [SPIRES].
- [9] R. Donagi and M. Wijnholt, Breaking GUT groups in F-theory, arXiv:0808.2223 [SPIRES].
- [10] M. Buican, D. Malyshev, D.R. Morrison, H. Verlinde and M. Wijnholt, *D-branes at singularities, compactification and hypercharge*, *JHEP* 01 (2007) 107 [hep-th/0610007] [SPIRES].
- [11] J.J. Heckman, J. Marsano, N. Saulina, S. Schäfer-Nameki and C. Vafa, Instantons and SUSY breaking in F-theory, arXiv:0808.1286 [SPIRES].
- [12] J. Marsano, N. Saulina and S. Schäfer-Nameki, Gauge mediation in F-theory GUT models, Phys. Rev. D 80 (2009) 046006 [arXiv:0808.1571] [SPIRES].
- [13] J.J. Heckman and C. Vafa, F-theory, GUTs and the weak scale, arXiv:0809.1098 [SPIRES].
- [14] A. Font and L.E. Ibáñez, Yukawa structure from U(1) fluxes in F-theory grand unification, JHEP 02 (2009) 016 [arXiv:0811.2157] [SPIRES].
- [15] J.J. Heckman and C. Vafa, Flavor hierarchy from F-theory, arXiv:0811.2417 [SPIRES].
- [16] R. Blumenhagen, Gauge coupling unification in F-theory grand unified theories, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102 (2009) 071601 [arXiv:0812.0248] [SPIRES].
- [17] J.J. Heckman, A. Tavanfar and C. Vafa, Cosmology of F-theory GUTs, arXiv:0812.3155 [SPIRES].
- [18] J.L. Bourjaily, Local models in F-theory and M-theory with three generations, arXiv:0901.3785 [SPIRES].
- [19] H. Hayashi, T. Kawano, R. Tatar and T. Watari, Codimension-3 singularities and Yukawa couplings in F-theory, arXiv:0901.4941 [SPIRES].
- [20] J.J. Heckman, G.L. Kane, J. Shao and C. Vafa, The footprint of F-theory at the LHC, arXiv:0903.3609 [SPIRES].

- [21] V. Bouchard, J.J. Heckman, J. Seo and C. Vafa, F-theory and neutrinos: Kaluza-Klein dilution of flavor hierarchy, arXiv:0904.1419 [SPIRES].
- [22] L. Randall and D. Simmons-Duffin, Quark and lepton flavor physics from F-theory, arXiv:0904.1584 [SPIRES].
- [23] J.J. Heckman and C. Vafa, CP violation and F-theory GUTs, arXiv:0904.3101 [SPIRES].
- [24] R. Tatar, Y. Tsuchiya and T. Watari, Right-handed neutrinos in F-theory compactifications, arXiv:0905.2289 [SPIRES].
- [25] J. Jiang, T. Li, D.V. Nanopoulos and D. Xie, *Flipped* $SU(5) \times U(1)_X$ models from F-theory, arXiv:0905.3394 [SPIRES].
- [26] T. Li, SU(5) and SO(10) models from F-theory with natural Yukawa couplings, arXiv:0905.4563 [SPIRES].
- [27] B. Andreas and G. Curio, From local to global in F-theory model building, arXiv:0902.4143 [SPIRES].
- [28] R. Donagi and M. Wijnholt, Higgs bundles and UV completion in F-theory, arXiv:0904.1218 [SPIRES].
- [29] J. Marsano, N. Saulina and S. Schäfer-Nameki, F-theory compactifications for supersymmetric GUTs, JHEP 08 (2009) 030 [arXiv:0904.3932] [SPIRES].
- [30] R. Blumenhagen, V. Braun, T.W. Grimm and T. Weigand, GUTs in type IIB orientifold compactifications, Nucl. Phys. B 815 (2009) 1 [arXiv:0811.2936] [SPIRES].
- [31] R. Blumenhagen, M. Cvetič, D. Lüst, R. Richter and T. Weigand, Non-perturbative Yukawa couplings from string instantons, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100 (2008) 061602 [arXiv:0707.1871] [SPIRES].
- [32] A. Collinucci, New F-theory lifts, arXiv:0812.0175 [SPIRES].
- [33] A. Sen, Orientifold limit of F-theory vacua, Phys. Rev. D 55 (1997) 7345 [hep-th/9702165]
 [SPIRES].
- [34] T.W. Grimm and J. Louis, The effective action of N = 1 Calabi-Yau orientifolds, Nucl. Phys. B 699 (2004) 387 [hep-th/0403067] [SPIRES].
- [35] A.P. Braun, A. Hebecker and H. Triendl, D7-brane motion from M-theory cycles and obstructions in the weak coupling limit, Nucl. Phys. B 800 (2008) 298 [arXiv:0801.2163] [SPIRES].
- [36] A. Collinucci, F. Denef and M. Esole, *D-brane deconstructions in IIB orientifolds*, *JHEP* 02 (2009) 005 [arXiv:0805.1573] [SPIRES].
- [37] T.W. Grimm and A. Klemm, U(1) mediation of flux supersymmetry breaking, JHEP 10 (2008) 077 [arXiv:0805.3361] [SPIRES].
- [38] S. Sethi, C. Vafa and E. Witten, Constraints on low-dimensional string compactifications, Nucl. Phys. B 480 (1996) 213 [hep-th/9606122] [SPIRES].
- [39] A. Klemm, B. Lian, S.S. Roan and S.-T. Yau, Calabi-Yau fourfolds for M- and F-theory compactifications, Nucl. Phys. B 518 (1998) 515 [hep-th/9701023] [SPIRES].
- [40] B. Andreas and G. Curio, On discrete twist and four-flux in N = 1 heterotic/F-theory compactifications, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 3 (1999) 1325 [hep-th/9908193] [SPIRES].

- [41] M. Bershadsky et al., Geometric singularities and enhanced gauge symmetries, Nucl. Phys. B 481 (1996) 215 [hep-th/9605200] [SPIRES].
- [42] A. Sen, F-theory and orientifolds, Nucl. Phys. B 475 (1996) 562 [hep-th/9605150]
 [SPIRES].
- [43] A. Sen, F-theory and the Gimon-Polchinski orientifold, Nucl. Phys. B 498 (1997) 135 [hep-th/9702061] [SPIRES].
- [44] J. Rambau, TOPCOM: Triangulations of Point Configurations and Oriented Matroids, in Mathematical Software — ICMS 2002, A.M. Cohen et al. eds., World Scientific, Singapore (2002), page 330.